Long term monitoring of urban subsidence by Permanent Scatterer DInSAR
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Abstract When using differential SAR interferometry (DInSAR) to monitor the surface deformation over a long time scale, it is often strongly affected by the spatial and temporal decorrelations and atmospheric dishomogeneities. The recently developed Permanent Scatterers (PS) technique proposed by Feretti et al. can overcome these difficulties by interpreting time-series of interferometric phase only at coherent point scatterers. In this study, we apply this PS technique using 25 ERS-1/2 scenes from 1992 to 2000 to monitor the subsidence in Suzhou. By using the linear deformation model, the deformation map in Suzhou urban area over the eight years is obtained. And the calculated results are in good agreement with the measurements of leveling.
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As a new space technique, differential SAR interferometry (DInSAR) can monitor the surface deformation with a high space resolution on day and night\(^{[1,2]}\). However, recent researches show that DInSAR is often limited by the spatial and temporal decorrelations and the atmospheric dishomogeneities\(^{[3]}\). In order to overcome these difficulties, a new technique called Permanent Scatterers (PS) was proposed by Feretti et al.\(^{[4,5]}\), which has been proved to be a powerful and effective tool to explore the Earth surface movement in the urban area. This technique analyzes the phase history of the selected coherent pixels extracted from a stack of \(N\) differential interferograms on the temporal scales. In this paper, PS technique is utilized to study the subsidence in Suzhou urban area using 25 scenes of ERS-1/2 images from 1992 to 2000. And the deformation map is shown and the result is compared with the leveling measurements.

1 The phase component in DInSAR

Since Refs. \(^{[6,7]}\) have given details of the theory and the geometry of InSAR, only the different signal components in DInSAR are emphasized here. Discarding the phase noise caused by registration, the phase at pixel \(x = \left[ \frac{\xi}{\eta} \right]\) in one SAR image is presented by

\[
\psi(x) = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} r(x) + \sigma(x) + a(x) + n(x),
\]

where \(r\) is the distance from the satellite to the object, \(\sigma\) is the phase decided by the object scattering, \(a\) is the composition of atmospheric phase screen (APS), and \(n\) is the thermal noise. The phase at \(x\) in interferogram is given by

\[
\hat{\psi}(x) = \psi_m(x) - \psi_s(x)
\]

\[
= \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \left[ r_s(x) - r_m(x) \right] + \left[ \sigma_s(x) - \sigma_m(x) \right] + \left[ a_s(x) - a_m(x) \right] + n(x),
\]

where the suffix \(m\) and \(s\) represent the master and slave images, respectively, and the repeat orbit \(r_s\) is given by

\[
r_s = r_m + \Delta r,
\]

where \(\Delta r\) is related to the topographic height and the displacement of the object along the direction of radar line of sight (LOS) occurring between two SAR acquisitions:

\[
\frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \Delta r = \phi_x + \phi_y
\]

\[
= \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \Delta d + \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \frac{B}{R \sin \theta} \cdot h,
\]

where \(\Delta d\) is the displacement of the object, \(h\) is the topographic height, \(B\), \(R\) and \(\theta\) are normal baseline, slant range, and the incident angle, respective-
ly. Therefore, the phase in an interferogram is dependent on the geometry of InSAR, the movement of the object, the scatterer mechanism and the atmosphere change:
\[ \hat{\phi}(x) = \phi_t(x) + \phi_a(x) + \phi_s(x) + \phi_e(x), \]
where \( \phi_t(x) \) is the topographic phase, \( \phi_a(x) \) is the phase related to the deformation along LOS, \( \phi_s(x) \) is the phase caused by the change of the scatterer, \( \phi_e(x) \) is the phase caused by the atmospheric dishomogeneity, \( \phi_s(x) \) is the thermal noise of the system. \( \phi_t(x) \) and \( \phi_e(x) \) are the geometric phases, and \( \phi_s(x) \) is the object phase.

Considering DInSAR, we often use an already existing Digital Elevation Model (DEM) or a DEM estimated from InSAR to remove the topographic phase component. However, because of the imperfections of the DEM we used, the phase in DInSAR at pixel \( x \) is
\[ \hat{\phi}(x) = \phi_{\Delta t}(x) + \phi_a(x) + \phi_s(x) + \phi_e(x), \]
where \( \phi_{\Delta t}(x) \) is the residual topographic phase.

Because of the spatial and temporal decorrelations and the atmospheric dishomogeneity, the scatterer phase \( \phi_s(x) \) and the atmosphere phase \( \phi_a(x) \) cannot be removed perfectly, which even destroys the geometric phase badly. According to Ref. [8], the atmospheric water vapor can introduce 0.68 radian into RMS of interferometric phase. All of these decorrelation factors prevent the wild applications of the DInSAR technique.

2 PS-DInSAR technique

In 2000, Ferretti et al. proposed a new technique called Permanent Scatterers (PSs) within a multi-image framework to overcome the difficulties in the traditional DInSAR technique, which only exploits the coherent pixels. The coherent pixels are selected from the long time scales and our analysis is only focused on those pixels which can keep coherence over years and contain useful phase information. Here, we just summarize the main steps and focus on the permanent scatterers selection, phase modeling and computing method. Given that the \( N + 1 \) ERS SAR images of the same area of interest are available, data are first coregistered on a common master image which is selected to minimize the dispersion of the normal baseline values of all the interferogram. Then, the \( N \) differential interferograms between all SAR images and the master are computed using an already existing DEM or a DEM estimated from low temporal baseline pairs. When \( N \) differential interferograms are available, the residual phase of coherent pixel \( x \) in interferogram \( i \) without the scatterer phase, which is subtracted during interferometry, is given by
\[ \hat{\phi}(x) = \phi_{\Delta t}(x) + \phi_a(x) + \phi_s(x) + \phi_e(x). \]

The Permanent Scatterers are identified from the stack of SAR calibration images using amplitude dispersion index considering the scatterers with low value both on amplitude dispersion index and coherence. When the algorithm of amplitude dispersion index (ADI) proposed by Ferretti et al. is applied to the selection of permanent scatterers, the pixels in the shallow area or in the water area are often selected as PSs because of the low variation of the amplitude in calibration of images. To remove these pixels from PSs, two criteria are applied: on the one hand, the amplitude dispersion index is below a threshold, on the other hand, the amplitude is above another threshold in at least \( N_1 \) images of all the available SAR images.

\[ D_{A,i} = \frac{\sigma_{A,i}}{m_{A,i}} < D_{A,\text{threshold}}, \]
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} N_{k,i} > N_{\text{threshold}}, \]
\[ \begin{cases} N_{k,i} = 1, & \text{when } A_{k,i} \geq A \\ N_{k,i} = 0, & \text{when } A_{k,i} < A \end{cases} \]
where \( m_{A,i} \) and \( \sigma_{A,i} \) are the mean and the standard deviations of the amplitude value for each pixel on the time scale, \( D_{A,\text{threshold}} \) is the threshold of DAI, \( A \) is the threshold of amplitude, and \( m_{A,i} + \sigma_{A,i} \) is the threshold amplitude above which every pixel should be.

For the purpose of deformation extraction, the phase variation between neighboring PSs is considered to cancel the different phase offsets among differential interferograms. At the same time, the atmospheric phase is much reduced because of the correlation between the tow closed PSs[9]. Considering the linear deformation, it is possible to retrieve a good estimation of them by adjusting the following phase model to data
\[ \hat{\phi}_{ij} = 4\pi \frac{\Delta v_{ij}}{\lambda} + \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} B_i^T \cdot \Delta v_{ij} \cdot \frac{1}{R_i^T} \sin \theta + \omega^T, \]
where $\phi^t_i$ is the phase difference between PS pixel $i$ and $j$, $\Delta v_{ij}$ and $\Delta \epsilon_{ij}$ are the increments of deformation velocity and the height error between PS $i$ and $j$, $T^*$ and $B^t_\perp$ are the temporal and normal baselines, respectively, and $\omega^t$ is the linear residual phase. The model adjustment function, namely the coherence function, is maximized to find the value of $\Delta v_{ij}$ and $\Delta \epsilon_{ij}$:

$$
\gamma_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left| \exp \left( j \cdot \left( \phi^t_i - \phi^t_j \right) \right) \right| \\
= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \exp \left[ j \cdot \left( \phi^t_i - \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \Delta v_{ij} T^* - \frac{4\pi B^t_\perp}{\lambda R} \Delta \epsilon_{ij} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \right) \right].
$$

(11)

The maximization of $\gamma_{ij}$ is equivalent to finding the bidimensional frequency of the complex sinusoid derived from the phase term\textsuperscript{[10]}. This can be accomplished using one of the well known techniques developed in spectral analysis. Once the values for all PSs are found, the final solution of each PS is obtained through an integration process and the deformation map is also obtained by Kriging interpolation.

3 Experiment and discussion

To validate the proposed PS algorithm, the urban area of Suzhou is selected as the test area because of its subsidence due to excessive groundwater pumping\textsuperscript{[11]}. 25 ERS SAR images gathered over the area from 1992 to 2000 are co-registered on the common master (ERS-1 orbit 23309 taken on December 30, 1995). The basic information about the images is given in Table 1. The temporal baseline is over 8 years and the baseline exceeds 2000 meters. Fig. 1 is the average amplitude image of the urban area that our test is focused on, which is about 18 km $\times$ 18 km. Fig. 2 is the interferogram acquired by the master and the image of ERS-2 orbit 24311 taken on December 31, 1995.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Orbit</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$T^*$ (days)</th>
<th>$B^t_\perp$ (m)</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Orbit</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$T^*$ (days)</th>
<th>$B^t_\perp$ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>E1-23309</td>
<td>1995-12-30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>E2-14658</td>
<td>1998-02-08</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>-792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>E1-7434</td>
<td>1992-12-17</td>
<td>-1108</td>
<td>-2240</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>E2-15159</td>
<td>1998-03-15</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>-614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>E1-11943</td>
<td>1993-10-28</td>
<td>-793</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>E2-21672</td>
<td>1999-06-13</td>
<td>1261</td>
<td>-1058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>E1-12444</td>
<td>1993-12-02</td>
<td>-758</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>E2-23175</td>
<td>1999-09-26</td>
<td>1366</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>E1-3636</td>
<td>1995-12-31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-282</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>E2-26682</td>
<td>2000-05-28</td>
<td>1611</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>E1-24311</td>
<td>1996-03-09</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>E2-28185</td>
<td>2000-09-10</td>
<td>1716</td>
<td>-1595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>E2-4638</td>
<td>1996-03-10</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>E2-29688</td>
<td>2000-12-24</td>
<td>1821</td>
<td>-907</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. ERS-1/2 data set of Suzhou area

![Fig. 1. The amplitude image of the test area in Suzhou.](image1)

![Fig. 2. The interferogram acquired by tandem pair.](image2)
Using the PS selection algorithm presented in Section 2, 1436 PSs are identified from the stack calibration images. The distribution of these PSs over the amplitude images is presented in Fig. 3. By maximizing the adjustment function model, the mean of the subsidence velocity of 2.48 cm/a on LOS is obtained. And the deformation map is shown in Fig. 4 using the Kriging interpolation method, which agrees with the result obtained by the traditional DInSAR\(^{12}\). The comparison of the result with the leveling measurements observed from 1993 to 1995 at 6 locations labeled in Fig. 4 is presented in Table 2. From the result shown in Table 2, we find that the subsidence rate is reduced at those locations \((P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5, P_6)\) inside the moat where the control project is carried out.

![Fig. 3. The distribution of the PSs over amplitude image.](image)

![Fig. 4. The deformation map over the test area using Kriging interpolation.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Comparison of the result of PSInSAR with the leveling measurements at six benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( P_1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSInSAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leveling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


As a by-product of the PSs technique, the DEM error map and the estimated atmospheric phase screen of the master image are generated, as shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively.

![Fig. 5. The estimated DEM error map.](image)

![Fig. 6. The estimated atmospheric phase screen of the master image.](image)

4 Conclusion and future work

To overcome the temporal decorrelation, the differential interferometric SAR based on permanent scatterers was carried out to retrieve the subsidence in
Suzhou city. The deformation rate map, DEM error map and atmospheric phase screen have been obtained. Because of the low-cost, large-cover and high-resolution characteristics of this technique, PS-DInSAR will be a great complement of the conventional geodetic tools, such as GPS and leveling to monitor the Earth surface movement over long time scales. Since ENVISAT was launched in 2002, the PS analysis on ERS interferometric data with ENVISAT ASAR images has become a major issue. This is ongoing and the experimental result will be shown in the near future.
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